

## **Sheffield Plan Examination: Action 46**

### **Note on Proposed Minor Green Belt Additions and Deletions**

This document has been written in response to the Inspectors' request within Action 46 (from Stage 1 of the hearings) that the Council compile information within the GB Review 2020 and 2022 addendum regarding the minor Green Belt additions and deletions to ensure the site references align and provide clear commentary of scoring against purposes of the Green Belt.

These minor amendments are required to address untenable anomalies and were discussed at the hearings sessions in summer 2024. They will be made regardless of other changes that may be required to accommodate development allocations proposed within the Sheffield Plan. Some additional changes have been necessary to respond to issues identified through further work on the Sheffield Plan and to ensure a robust Green Belt boundary.

All proposed **additions** to the Green Belt incorporate land that performs one or more Green Belt purposes and would ensure a defensible Green Belt boundary. This represents the exceptional circumstances for making the additions. Most smaller changes correct untenable anomalies where the Green Belt boundary does not currently make sense on the ground due to mapping errors, or does not follow an identifiable feature, and where a minor change would result in a more defensible, robust boundary. There are a small number of large additions where through assessment of the boundary we have concluded that a larger area of land should be included in the Green Belt.

**36 deletions** are proposed where there are exceptional circumstances to remove land from the Green Belt. As with the proposed additions, the majority of these changes reflect improvements to mapping and locations where the Green Belt boundary is currently not defensible on the ground. However, several larger deletions are proposed where land has been developed and therefore where it no longer performs the purposes of Green Belt. This applies in particular to several previously developed sites (largely former schools and colleges) in the Green Belt that have been developed for housing since the UDP was adopted. There is also a proposed deletion at the former airport to reflect delivery of the Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre.

Maps identifying an addition to the Green Belt can be found in Appendix 1. Maps identifying deletions of Green Belt can be found in Appendix 2.

During discussions on these amendments at the Stage 1 Hearings, it was agreed that the following boundaries within the submitted Policies Map should be amended further or in some cases the amendment withdrawn. The following revisions to the submitted boundary amendments are shown within their respective maps in Appendix 1 and 2:

- A1 – Hen Holmes, north of Samuel Fox Avenue, Stocksbridge
- A14 – West of Overcroft Rise, Totley
- A18 – Warren Garden, Warren
- D15 – Rycroft Glen Road, Dore
- D22 – 165A Cross Hill, Ecclesfield
- D23 – 117 to 125 Cross Hill, Ecclesfield
- D27 – 3 Cross Hill, Ecclesfield
- D36 - Willow Drive, Handsworth (this deletion was not identified within the submitted Policies Map)

**Table 1: Additional Green Belt**

GB Addendum 2022 Ref	GB Review 2020 Ref	Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)	Area (Hectares)	Justification
A1	N/A	Hen Holmes, north of Samuel Fox Avenue, Stocksbridge	5.0173	<p>Land meets the purposes of Green Belt. Addition would result in a defensible Green Belt boundary.</p> <p>Assessed in Green Belt Review 2020 as a parcel of Countryside Area (adj. Ford Lane, Stocksbridge) that could be included in the Green Belt (see Appendix 9).</p> <p>This is a predominantly heavily wooded area of land which is designated a local wildlife site. The current boundary cuts across an area of similar characteristics which are open in character. Additional Green Belt meets four of the five purposes (excluding d (preserving historic towns)) and creates a more robust and permanent boundary along Fox Valley Way and Porter/Old Don River.</p> <p>Following discussions during the hearing session on Matter 6 (Green Belt), the Council has agreed to amend the Green Belt boundary further. The revised boundary will follow the rear boundary of the new residential development at Samuel Fox Avenue. This will create a more robust and defensible boundary and will be shown within a modified Policies Map.</p>
A2	N/A	Land north of Owlthorpe Lane / Owlthorpe Drive	4.2902	Assessed in Green Belt Review 2020 as a parcel of Countryside Area (N of Mosborough Moor) that could be included in the Green Belt (see Appendix 9).

GB Addendum 2022 Ref	GB Review 2020 Ref	Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)	Area (Hectares)	Justification
				<p>This land is identified as Open Space in the Unitary Development Plan and addition A2 will designate a significant part of this land as Green Belt. The current boundary between the open space and Green Belt crosses agricultural fields. It does not follow any identifiable features and is therefore not robust or permanent. It was excluded from the current adopted Green Belt boundary pending delivery of new homes to the south for which an exact boundary was not known at that point. Following consideration of landscape and visual impacts the land was not subsequently developed for housing. The land performs Green Belt purposes (a, b, c and e) and the new boundary, which follows the urban edge of residential development in Owlthorpe, is more identifiable, robust and permanent.</p>
<b>A3</b>	N/A	North east of Cart Road, Chapeltown	3.8177	<p>The additional Green Belt Land is part of the larger Parkin Wood Local Wildlife Site. It is open and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e). The current boundary cuts through woodland and there are no identifiable features that it follows. Realigning the boundary along Cart Road therefore creates a robust, defensible and permanent boundary.</p>
<b>A4</b>	N/A	East of Oughtibridge Sports Ground	2.0829	<p>Assessed in the Green Belt Review 2020 as a parcel of Countryside Area (S of Station Road, Oughtibridge) that could be included in the Green Belt (see Appendix 9).</p> <p>The proposed Green Belt boundary follows the rear of properties, the river and the edge of a playing field, providing a robust and defensible boundary.</p>

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>A5</b>	24	West of Thorncliffe Road, Chapeltown	1.7249	The additional Green Belt land is part of the larger Thorncliffe Wood Local Wildlife Site. It is open and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e). The current boundary cuts through this woodland between the car park at the end of West Warren Lane and Thorncliffe Road and there are no identifiable features that it follows. Realigning the boundary to the edge of the employment site reflects the physical edge of the urban area, creating a more defensible and permanent boundary.
<b>A6</b>	N/A	Land north east of Stocksbridge Steelworks site A	1.4632	Assessed in Green Belt Review 2020 as a parcel of Countryside Area (N of Newton Ave (W), Stocksbridge) that could be included in the Green Belt (see Appendix 9).  The additional land is part of a Local wildlife site. The current boundary doesn't accurately reflect the edge of the current developed industrial area. The new boundary encompasses open land, which is free from development, meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e), reflects the characteristics of land on the ground and present a more robust and permanent boundary.
<b>A7</b>	34	Land at Windmill Hill, south of Deepwell Avenue	1.3715	Green Belt boundary should be changed to follow rear garden boundaries of later development, which is a more permanent and defensible boundary. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e).
<b>A8</b>	12	North of Samuel Fox Avenue	1.1872	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>A9</b>	5	Sports pitches north of Castlebeck Avenue	1.1046	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e).
<b>A10</b>	N/A	North of electricity substation, south of Stocksbridge bypass	0.8501	<p>Assessed in Green Belt Review 2020 as a parcel of Countryside Area (N of Newton Ave (E), Stocksbridge) that could be included in the Green Belt (see Appendix 9).</p> <p>Additional land is part of a larger Local Wildlife Site and the current boundary doesn't follow existing development on the ground. There is no identifiable change in character when crossing the boundary. The new boundary encompasses open land which is free from development and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e). In addition it would create a more robust and permanent boundary that reflects the current physical edge of Stocksbridge.</p>
<b>A11</b>	N/A	East of Newton Chambers Road	0.6316	The additional Green Belt land comprises grassland associated with a fishing pond which is, unlike the grassland, currently within Green Belt. It is part of a larger Local Wildlife Site. The pond and the grassland comprise one identifiable character area (site) and, except for the pond itself, there are no identifiable features that establish the current Green Belt boundary. The grassland meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e) and the revised boundary which follows closely the edge of the employment site would create a more robust and permanent boundary.

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>A12</b>	24	South of Brookdale Road, Chapeltown	0.5769	The additional Green Belt Land is open and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e). The current boundary cuts through this woodland and there are no identifiable/permanent features that it follows or characteristics that differentiate between land that is within or outside the Green Belt. Realigning the boundary to the edge of the employment site reflects the physical edge of the urban area, creating a more defensible and permanent boundary.
<b>A13</b>	35	West of rail line, south of Retford Road	0.5696	Boundary should be standardised along east side of railway track. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A14</b>	45	West of Overcroft Rise	0.3888	<p>The current Green Belt boundary does not follow any identifiable features and is therefore not robust, defensible or permanent. This anomaly is resolved through the realignment of the boundary to the back of the properties on Overcroft Rise, Oldwell Close and Stocks Green Court. This comprises a more robust and permanent boundary. Except for the residential dwelling, granted on appeal, the land is open and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e).</p> <p>Since being originally drawn (within the GB Review 2020), the boundaries of two properties on Oldwell and three on Green Court have been changed. This has resulted in the proposed Green Belt boundary not following permanent or defensible features. Following discussions during the hearing session on Matter 6 (Green Belt), to ensure the boundary is robust and permanent a further modification</p>

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
				is proposed to Green Belt addition A14. These will be shown within a modified Policies Map.
<b>A15</b>	3	East of Castle Beck, Manor	0.3447	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground and is therefore not robust or permanent. There is potential for the Green Belt to be extended to include all the open space area. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e)
<b>A16</b>	32	North of Robin Hood Chase	0.3176	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground and is therefore not robust or permanent. There is potential for the Green Belt to be extended to include all the open space area and LNS. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A17</b>	30	South of Cross House Close, Grenoside	0.3131	The additional Green Belt Land is open pasture and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e). The current boundary cuts across this grassland in straight lines and there are no identifiable/permanent features that it follows or characteristics that differentiate between land that is within or outside the Green Belt. Realigning the boundary to the edge of the residential area reflects the physical edge of the urban area, creating a more identifiable, defensible and permanent boundary.
<b>A18</b>	31	East of Thorncliffe Road, Warren	0.3107	The additional Green Belt Land is part of the larger Parkin Wood Local Wildlife Site. It is open and meets Green Belt purposes (a, c and e). The current boundary cuts through this woodland between the residential properties on Warrens Garden and Thorncliffe Road and there are no identifiable features that it follows or characteristics that differentiate between land that is within or outside the Green Belt.

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
				Following discussions during the hearing session on Green Belt (Matter 6), it was agreed that the Council would revise the boundary further. Realigning the boundary to the edge of the residential properties to create a more identifiable, defensible and permanent boundary. This will be shown within a modified Policies Map.
<b>A19</b>	33	North of Oak Apple Walk	0.283	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground and is therefore not defensible or permanent. Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area and LNS and create a more robust boundary along Acorn Drive. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A20</b>	29	North of Stephen Lane, Grenoside	0.2714	Corrects a cartographic error. Green Belt boundary follows no identifiable feature across agricultural land so should be extended to edge of domestic curtilages. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A21</b>	36	West of Moss Way	0.2449	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground and is therefore not permanent or weak. The Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A22</b>	13	North of The Glen, Wharncliffe Side	0.1927	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all agricultural area north of stream. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).  The Green Belt Addendum has extended the land that is included. It now directly adjoins the woodland boundary, which is more robust.
<b>A23</b>	46	South of Whinfell Court	0.1433	Part of public gardens shown as Housing Area, no identifiable feature on ground and therefore the boundary is weak and not permanent. Green Belt could be extended

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
				to include all open space area/ Historic Park and create an identifiable and permanent boundary. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e).
<b>A24</b>	10	West of Ridal Croft	0.138	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. Performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e)
<b>A25</b>	11	North of 18 Hunshelf Park	0.1216	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space/garden area. This will provide an identifiable and permanent boundary. Land performs Green Belt functions (a) and (e).
<b>A26</b>	42	South of Oakbrook Road	0.1021	Green Belt could be extended to include open space on north side of Porter Brook, creating an identifiable and permanent boundary along the rear of residential properties. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e).
<b>A27</b>	27	North of Tavener Close, Chapelton	0.1014	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area, creating an identifiable and permanent boundary along the rear of the residential properties. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e).
<b>A28</b>	26	North of Tavener Close, Chapelton	0.1014	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area, creating an identifiable and permanent boundary along the rear of the residential properties. Land added to the Green Belt performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A29</b>	41	North east of Northfield Avenue	0.0966	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area, creating an identifiable and permanent boundary

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
				along the rear of residential properties. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A30</b>	39	South of 32 Bramley Park Close	0.0953	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area/LNS. This would create an identifiable and permanent boundary along the rear of residential properties. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A31</b>	25	South west of Warren Lane, Warren	0.0853	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. This would create an identifiable and permanent boundary along the rear of residential properties. Land performs Green Belt functions (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A32</b>	40	West of 6 Badger Road	0.0626	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. The new boundary follows the side of a residential property and path, these are identifiable and more robust features. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A33</b>	16	North of 96 Church Street, Oughtibridge	0.0508	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all agricultural area west of river. The river then provides a more robust boundary. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A34</b>	4	Land between 17 and 19 Danewood Avenue	0.0493	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground so Green Belt could be extended to include all open space area. The new boundary follows the side of residential properties and the front of the road, creating an identifiable and permanent boundary. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a) and (e).

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>A35</b>	XXX	East of 185 to 191A High Greave	0.0382	The additional Green Belt Land is woodland/scrub and part of the wider Hartley Brook Dike natural greenspace. It meets Green Belt purposes a, b, c and e. The current boundary excludes part of this natural greenspace and, except for one garden that has extended, there are no identifiable/permanent features that it follows or characteristics that differentiate between land that is within or outside the Green Belt. Realigning the boundary to the end of the gardens (except one) reflects the physical edge of the urban area, creating a more identifiable, defensible and permanent boundary.
<b>A36</b>	N/A	West of Ecclesfield School, Chapelton Road	0.0372	Land meets the purposes of Green Belt (a, c and e). Addition would result in a more defensible Green Belt boundary.
<b>A37</b>	37	West of River Rother, north of A57	0.0358	Boundary makes no sense at large scale as small area of business/industrial area on north side of road. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A38</b>	38	North of 61 Vicar Lane	0.0348	UDP Green Belt boundary was wrongly drawn, to include open space/LNS in Housing Area. Green Belt should be extended to follow LNS boundary. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).
<b>A39</b>	N/A	East of Colley Drive	0.0298	Land meets the purposes of Green Belt (a, c and e). Addition would result in a more defensible Green Belt boundary.
<b>A40</b>	18	West of Aldene Avenue	0.0247	Green Belt boundary could be changed to follow rear garden boundaries, which are more robust and permanent. Land performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e).

<b>GB Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>GB Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>A41</b>	44	East of Cross Hill Close	0.0055	Boundary should be changed to correct a cartographic error. Land added to the Green Belt performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e)
<b>A42</b>	44	Hillfoot Road	0.012	Boundary should be changed to correct a cartographic error. Land added to the Green Belt performs Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (e)
<b>A43</b>	N/A	East of 149 Cross Hill	0.007	Land meets the purposes of Green Belt (a, c and e). Addition would result in a more defensible Green Belt boundary.
<b>A44</b>	N/A	East of Cross Hill Close	0.0055	Land meets the purposes of Green Belt (a, c and e). Addition would result in a more defensible Green Belt boundary.
<b>A45</b>	N/A	North-east of Hunshelf Park	0.0039	Land meets the purposes of Green Belt (a, c and e). Addition would result in a more defensible Green Belt boundary.

**Table 2: Green Belt Deletions**

<b>Green Belt Review Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>Green Belt Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>D1</b>	7	Land between Europa Link and A57 Sheffield Parkway	23.0492	Development has taken place. Green Belt boundary no longer identifiable on the ground. The area of land removed has been enlarged since the land was identified for removal within the Green Belt Review 2020. This reflects more recent developments that have been approved and are under construction.
<b>D2</b>	N/A	Norton Aerodrome	22.3273	Proposed as Sheffield Plan site allocation. The land scores poorly against Green Belt purposes scoring 8 out of 20 (Green Belt Review 2020). Wider Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary. As the land was assessed as a parcel of land that may be suitable for development, rather than as 'deletion' because it does meet Green Belt purposes, it was not assessed alongside other deletions in the Green Belt Review 2020
<b>D3</b>	N/A	Land at Shooter's Grove Primary School and Former Loxley College / Forge Valley School	9.1153	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land developed for housing and to ensure a defensible boundary. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D4</b>	2	Former Stradbroke College, Pickard Crescent	4.7056	Area of former Stradbroke College now redeveloped for housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D5</b>	N/A	Land at Green Lane, Owler Gate, Wharncliffe Side	3.483	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land consisting of existing housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.

<b>Green Belt Review Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>Green Belt Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>D6</b>	N/A	Land at Bents Green School and Folkwood Grove	2.6564	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land developed for housing. The neighbouring school building has been excluded also. Both the school or new homes harm the openness of this Green Belt land.
<b>D7</b>	N/A	Land at Coppice Rise, Chapelton	0.9351	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land developed for housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D8</b>	N/A	South of 416 Handsworth Road	0.5991	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land developed for housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D9</b>	N/A	East of Middlewood Park	0.5831	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D10</b>	24	Unit 3 Brookdale Road, Chapelton	0.3617	The deletion of this area of Green Belt comprises part of the wider realignment of the boundary along the southern edge of the industrial estate (see A5 and A12). These amendments reflect the changes that have occurred to the physical edge of the urban area, which in this case has extended built development, including the corner of large employment building and car park, into the Green Belt. It therefore no longer delivers Green Belt purposes. The new boundary is identifiable (no longer cutting through the building and car park), creating a more robust and permanent boundary.
<b>D11</b>	N/A	Land at School Lane, Wharncliffe Side	0.3036	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land consisting of existing housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D12</b>	19	South of Lidl, Stannington Road	0.235	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land that has been developed for supermarket car park. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.

<b>Green Belt Review Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>Green Belt Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>D13</b>	14	Land at 8 to 18 Spring Grove Gardens, Wharncliffe Side	0.1965	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land consisting of existing housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D14</b>	15	Land at 38 to 48 Hawksley Rise, Oughtibridge	0.1941	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land consisting of existing housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D15</b>	N/A	Land at Ryecroft Glen Road	0.1786	<p>Since being proposed for removal and following discussions at the examination hearing session (Matter 6: Green Belt) it is proposed, as a modification to the submitted plan, that this land remains within the Green Belt and is not deleted. This will be shown within a modified Policies Map.</p> <p>The extant Green Belt boundary is more robust and the land continues to contribute to Green Belt purposes a), c) and e).</p>
<b>D16</b>	9	South of Smithy Moor Playing Field, Stocksbridge	0.1143	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground and boundary should be redrawn, using the edge of playground, to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D17</b>	N/A	West of Ecclesfield School, Chapeltown Road	0.0958	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D18</b>	17	16 Stockarth Place	0.0752	Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land developed for housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.
<b>D19</b>	47	South of 3 Sandringham Place	0.0732	The current Green Belt boundary was drawn around the former Lodge Moor Hospital, which has since been redeveloped for housing. This redevelopment included a small area of Green Belt (D19) being used to accommodate a car park (for the playground), a thin strip

<b>Green Belt Review Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>Green Belt Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
				of land for access to two properties, a residential garage and small area of garden. Now developed, the land is no longer open or performing a Green Belt function and the boundary is now unidentifiable on the ground. The new boundary follows the established hedge/road and edge of the car park. This is more robust and permanent.
<b>D20</b>	20	East of High Matlock Road, Stannington	0.065	Green Belt boundary could be changed to follow rear garden boundaries of later development to ensure a defensible boundary.
<b>D21</b>	43	North of 42 Dore Road	0.0591	Green Belt should be changed to follow rear garden boundaries to ensure a defensible boundary.
<b>D22</b>	21	Land at 165A Cross Hill	0.0565	<p>Following the development of this land for residential development, this area of Green Belt no longer delivers Green Belt purposes, and its deletion is proposed.</p> <p>As discussed during the hearing session on Matter 6 (Green Belt), a further modification is proposed to rectify a mapping error which, due to recent developments (after the original review), results in part of the property's garden and part of the dwelling itself within the Green Belt. This would not create a clearly identifiable, robust and permanent boundary. We propose enlarging D22 to include the whole property. This will be shown within a modified Policies Map.</p>
<b>D23</b>	22	Land east of 117 to 125 Cross Hill	0.0541	<p>Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.</p> <p>As discussed during the hearing session on Matter 6 (Green Belt), a further modification is proposed to rectify a</p>

<b>Green Belt Review Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>Green Belt Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
				mapping error which, due to recent developments (after the original review), results in part of both properties remaining within the Green Belt. This would not create a clearly identifiable, robust and permanent boundary. We propose enlarging D23 to fully include both properties. This will be shown within a modified Policies Map.
<b>D24</b>	11	North of Hunshelf Park, Stocksbridge	0.0522	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D25</b>	N/A	Land at Stour Lane, Wadsley	0.0425	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D26</b>	1	South of Stradbroke Road	0.0415	Boundary follows a feature that no longer exists. Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D27</b>	23	East of 3 Cross Hill	0.0359	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.  As discussed during the hearing session on Matter 6 (Green Belt), a further modification is proposed to rectify a mapping error which, due to recent developments (after the original review), results in part of an outbuilding remaining in the Green Belt. This would not create a clearly identifiable, robust and permanent boundary. We propose enlarging D27 to follow the back of the outbuilding and neighbouring buildings. This will be shown within a modified Policies Map.
<b>D28</b>	28	31 Bracken Hill, Burncross	0.0319	Boundary follows no identifiable feature on ground across domestic garden so Green Belt should be changed to exclude all domestic curtilage.

<b>Green Belt Review Addendum 2022 Ref</b>	<b>Green Belt Review 2020 Ref</b>	<b>Address/Location (within Green Belt Addendum 2022)</b>	<b>Area (Hectares)</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>D29</b>	15	East of Hawksley Rise, Oughtibridge	0.0264	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D30</b>	N/A	West of 272 Cinder Hill Lane	0.0184	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D31</b>	N/A	West of 348 The Wheel	0.0177	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D32</b>	44	Chapel House, Chapel Lane, Totley	0.0164	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D33</b>	N/A	Land north of 14 to 16 Cross Hill Close	0.0158	New development has come forward since the Green Belt Review in 2020. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt and the boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D34</b>	25	East of Warren Gardens, Warren	0.0074	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D35</b>	N/A	Land between 94 and 98 The Wheel, Grenoside	0.1	Green Belt boundary should be redrawn to ensure defensible boundary.
<b>D36</b>	6	Willow Drive, Handsworth	0.39	<p>Green Belt boundary should be changed to exclude land developed for housing. Land no longer contributes to the openness of the Green Belt.</p> <p>This is an addition deletion is identified within the Green Belt Review (2020 and 2023). It was not however included within the Policies Map that accompanied the Submitted Plan. This was a mapping error and its deletion was discussed and agreed during the Stage 1 hearings.</p>