

## **Note regarding the difference in the Local Plan Housing Trajectory between Pre-Submission Publication and the proposed modifications version**

The Council has provided the two trajectories with the breakdown of sites for comparison. Please note that when making a direct comparison there is a slight change in terms of where site SM1 is within the spreadsheet and that area sums have been added to the latest version.

The 2023 Pre-submission Local Plan Housing trajectory diagram on page 156 of the Plan (hereon referred to as the original diagram) did not add the windfall supply per annum to the total (although this is evident in the totals of the original spreadsheet and therefore the totals between the spreadsheet and diagram differ). This provides a 27 dwelling difference to every total from year 2028/29 in the original diagram compared to the proposed modifications 2025 revised diagram.

The other differences are as follows:

### **Five Year Supply upon adoption**

#### 2025/26

You will note an error in the original spreadsheet for column N row 2 in relation to site H1 Land to the West of Pye Green. This should have included a total of 50 dwellings for year 2025/26 which is added to the 2025 spreadsheet and revised diagram.

#### 2026/27

There is no difference between the diagrams. The original trajectory is a little difficult to read and may look like 228 but is in fact 225

#### 2027/28

The main differences in the trajectory from this point forward relates to 3 sites:

M1 Multi Storey Car Park, Market Hall and Retail Units, Church Street, Cannock

SH1 Land south of A5190, Lichfield Road, Heath Hayes (Phase 1)

SH2 Land to the East of Wimblebury Road, Heath Hayes

This is due to new intelligence about these sites. In the case of M1 this is the main town centre regeneration site that now has outline planning permission.

In relation to sites SH1 and SH2 the trajectory was revised to reflect information provided by the developers and is also contained in the SoCGs (SoCG 9 and SoCG 10).

As such the annual supply from these sites differs, however the overall total only differs for site SH2, which adds 35 dwellings to the end of the plan period relating to Action Point 91.

2027/28		
	Original diagram	Revised diagram
M1	0	20
SH1	0	25
SH2	15	25
difference		+55

### 2028/29

Windfall calculation added from this point forward

2028/29		
	Original diagram	Revised diagram
M1	0	20
SH1	25	75
SH2	50	50
windfall	0	27
difference		+97

### 2029/30

2029/30		
	Original diagram	Revised diagram
M1	25	20
SH1	50	100
SH2	50	50
windfall	0	27
difference		+72

### Remaining years of the trajectory

The main difference to the remainder of the supply is the addition of 27 dpa to reflect windfall, the loss of Beecroft Road site M3 and addition of 35 for site SH2 at different time periods and the change to trajectories for the aforementioned sites.

There is also an alteration to site H34 which has reduced from 36 dwellings in the original to 20 dwellings in the revised diagram, however it has always been stated as 20 dwellings in Policy SA1 and Policy H34 of the Plan so it is unclear how this error in the spreadsheet and trajectory diagram occurred.